Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Shashi Bala Sharma, # 687/19, Street No. 3 A, Rulia Ram Colony, Gurdaspur-143521

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Executive Engineer, U.B.D.C., Madhopur Division, Gurdaspur. First Appellate Authority, o/o Superintendent Engineer, UBDC Circle, Amritsar.

#### Appeal Case No. 3444 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Sanjeev Sharma, SDO (for the Respondent) 98880-68180

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **26.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding Service record</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **24.3.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.8.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of her inability to attend this hearing. The respondent PIO has submitted a reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 736-37 Dated 3.2.2022 with a pointwise reply to the RTI application along with requested information. However, in her Letter Dated 11.7.2022, the appellant contends that the information pertaining to point no. 3 to 5 has not been provided by the respondent PIO. The respondent PIO, represented by Sanjeev Sharma, SDO, however, states that all the information pertaining to queries raised in the RTI application, has been supplied to the appellant.
- 3. The Commission however, notes that the appended information has not been attested. The respondent PIO is requested to attest all pages as "information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005" and re-send it to the appellant with a copy of this Commission under the signatures of the respondent PIO. This must be done within 10 days of receipt of this Order. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

## Yogesh Mahajan,

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

#### **Versus**

## **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Executive Engineer, U.B.D.C., Madhopur Division, Gurdaspur.

## First Appellate Authority,

o/o Superintendent Engineer, UBDC Circle, Amritsar.

## Appeal Case No. 4925 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Pardeep Behal, SDO (for the Respondent) 96461-35123

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **2.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding comparative statements</u>, <u>E-tendering etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **6.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission via email on 5.8.2022 that he has received the information. The Commission notes that the copies of the information supplied to the Commission are not attested as per the format stipulated by this Commission which is as under:-

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

3. The PIO is advised to strictly adhere to attestation of all the documents supplied in response to RTI applications. The Appeal is accordingly **Disposed of**.

#### Sd/-

#### (ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

## Yogesh Mahajan,

S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

#### **Versus**

**Public Information Officer,** 

o/o Executive Engineer, U.B.D.C., Madhopur Division, Gurdaspur.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Superintendent Engineer, UBDC Circle, Amritsar.

## Appeal Case No. 3966 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding comparative statements</u>, <u>E-tendering etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **2.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The appellant has however informed the Commission via email on 5.8.2022 that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it. The Commission however, notes that the information provided by the respondent PIO vide Letter No. 483 Dated 12.5.2022 is not attested, even though the appellant appears to be satisfied with the said information.
- 3. The Commission deems it fit to direct the respondent PIO to resubmit duly attested copies of the said information to the appellant and the Commission. Each and every page must be attested as under:-

Information supplied under the RTI Act, 2005 Signature of the PIO Name of the PIO Name of the Public Authority Date

4. This must be done within 15 days of receipt of this Order. The respondent PIO must note here that in the event attested copies of the information are not received within the aforesaid period of 15 days, the respondent would be liable for penalties as per the RTI Act 2005.

Contd....2

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## Appeal Case No. 3966 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

5. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Yogesh Mahajan, S/o Late Sh. Kuldip Raj Mahajan, Opp. Water Tank, Municipal Market, Mission Road, Pathankot.

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Divisional Officer, Draining Sub Division No.2, Gurdaspur. First Appellate Authority, o/o Superintendent Engineer, Draining Circle, Amritsar.

## Appeal Case No. 4417 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Kuldeep Singh, SDO (for the Respondent) 98034-14449

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **1.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding sanction letter, CSRS-P sanction, work and cost of work written in MB, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **29.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent, but has informed the Commission via email on 5.8.2022 that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Madan Mohan Arya, Shop Guru Glass House, Shop No.2, Main Bazar, Moga.

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Inspector General of Police, Bathinda Range, Bathinda.

## Appeal Case No. 4248 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

Madan Mohan Arya (Appellant) 98552-91041 ASI Jaspal Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-03225

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **12.6.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding complaint against Madan Mohan, Vishal Arora and others</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.7.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.9.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Jaspal Singh from the SSP office, Moga has submitted a detailed, pointwise reply vide Letter No. 01/SPL/RTI Dated 16.4.2022, along with attested copies of the requested information. The appellant Madan Mohan Arya, however, states that the information is deficient. He has listed deficiencies by way of MLRs and the fitness certificate issued by the concerned doctor.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed herewith to search for aforesaid documents in official record and provide duly attested copies of the same to the appellant within Ten days of receipt of this Order. In case the documents are not part of the office records and so not available, the respondent is to state this in writing in a letter to the appellant with a copy to this Commission.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Ravnder Singh Gill, # 986, Near Dev Hotel, Main Bazar, Moga.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Senior Superintended of Police, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Inspector General of Police, Faridkot Range, Faridkot.

### Appeal Case No. 2562 of 2022 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent Head Constable, Balwinder Singh (for the Respondent) 97800-08085

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 12.3.2022 vide which the appellant has sought information regarding CCTV footage from Chowki Focal Point Moga 8:30 am to 9.30 pm on 12.11.21, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 24.4.2022 and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on 26.5.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 5.8.2022.
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO has submitted a reply to this Commission's Notice of Hearing vide Letter No. 34 Dated 2.8.2022, including the replies sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 249 Dated 1.4.2022 and Letter No. 6501 Dated 10.5.2022 from the PIO-cum-SSP and FAA respectively. In said replies, it is contended that there was no CCTV Cameras installed in the Police Chowki cited in the RTI application on 12.11.2021 and thereafter. The appellant has pointed out in a submission to this Commission that it is mandatory for Police Stations/Police Chowkis to install CCTV Cameras for the protection of citizens.
- 3. In view of the above, the PIO-cum-SSP, Moga is hereby directed to submit a notarized affidavit stating his contention that there was no CCTV Camera installed in Police Chowki, Focal Point, Moga on 12.11.2021 along with the current status of installed CCTV cameras. This must be done within Ten days of receipt of this order.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



## **FINAL ORDER**

Tejinder Singh Advocate,

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur – 144 410

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Registrar, Dharamkot, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dharamkot, Moga.

### Appeal Case No. 3728 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Tejinder Singh (Appellant) 90410-04313 Ramesh Dhingra, Naib Tehsildar (for the Respondent) 98766-00919

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **20.5.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding details of vasikas from January 2021 to 4.5.2021, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.8.201** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **20.5.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. At the last hearing on 20.5.2022, the appellant was requested to submit the deficiencies in writing to the respondent PIO with a copy to this Commission. He has failed to comply. The appellant has pointed out today that the information pertaining to point no. 2 and 7 of his RTI application are deficient.
- 3. During deliberations at this Hearing, point no. 7 has been addressed. Regarding point no. 2, the respondent PIO is directed to arrange an inspection of the record at 11.00 AM on 25.8.2022 following which both parties are to intimate the Commission.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



#### **FINAL ORDER**

**Tejinder Singh Advocate**,

Civil Court, Tehsil Complex, Backside Sanjh Kender, Phillaur – 144 410

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Registrar, Kot Ise Khan, Moga.

**First Appellate Authority,** o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Moga.

## Appeal Case No. 3749 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Tejinder Singh (Appellant) 90410-04313 Ramesh Dhingra, Naib Tehsildar (for the Respondent) 98766-00919

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **20.5.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding details of vasikas from January 2021 to 4.5.2021, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **5.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **19.8.201** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **20.5.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. During deliberations at this hearing the appellant states that point no. 2 and 7 are deficient. The respondent PIO is directed to arrange an inspection with regard to para 2 on 25.8.2022. The information requested at point no. 7 has been denied by the respondent, stating that no audit was conducted in the year cited in the RTI application. The appellant has contested this.
- 3. In light of this, the respondent PIO is advised to re-examine the record and supply duly attested copies of the requested audit report if available in the records. In case it is not available, the respondent PIO is to state this in writing to the appellant as well as to the Commission. Both parties to intimate the Commission within 10 days of the aforesaid inspection.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Tejinder Singh,**Civil Court, Tehsil Complex,
Backside Sanjh Kender,
Phillaur – 144 410

#### Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Sub Registrar, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Sub Divisional Magistrate, Dharamkot, Moga.

## Appeal Case No. 4839 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

#### PRESENT:

Tejinder Singh (Appellant) 90410-04313 Talwinder Singh, Registry Clerk (for the Respondent) **98557-06890** 

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.4.2022**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **4.5.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding visikas from 2021 to 4.5.2021, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **7.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **22.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The appellant is present in person. The appellant has raised some objections to the reply sent by the respondent PIO vide Letter No.1226-27 Dated 18.4.2022.
- 3. After deliberations at this hearing, the respondent PIO is directed to provide copy of index with regard to point no.1 and reexamine the record for the requested audit report pertaining to point no. 7. The appellant is also to inspect the concerned record along with the inspections at Dharamkot and Kot Isse Khan on 25.8.2022. Both parties to intimate the Commission after said inspection.
- 4. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

**Tejinder Singh,** Senior Assistant,

Room No. 123, Bias Block, O/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Additional Deputy Commissioner, Moga.

## Appeal Case No. 4875 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Jagtar Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 94642-050024

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **19.7.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding proof of marriage submitted by the employees</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **31.8.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **26.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent but has informed the Commission of his inability to attend this hearing. A perusal of the case file, shows that the respondent PIO had submitted a suitable reply to the RTI application vide Letter No. 135 Dated 25.8.2021 denying point no. 1 and 2 of the RTI application as personal information, while supplying documents pertaining to point no. 3 of the RTI application. In a subsequent letter to the Commission vide No. 457 dated 16.5.2022, the PIO states that a reply was once again sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 43 Dated 25.4.2022, whereby he had informed that the nomination change requested by him has been executed.
- 3. The Commission has taken note of PIO's reply vide Letter No. 135 Dated 25.8.2021 and is of the view that this RTI application is adequately addressed. This Appeal is accordingly **Disposed** of.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Rupinder Singh, S/o Sh. Amar Singh, New Apex Colony, Dusanj Road, Moga.

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Commissioner Moga.

#### Appeal Case No. 4611 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Jagtar Singh, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 94642-050024

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.4.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding passes issued to the vehicles in DC Office Moga from 1.4.2021 to till date during Covid-19, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **18.6.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **8.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. The appellant is absent without intimation. The respondent PIO, represented by Jagtar Singh Senior Assistant from the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga, states that a reply was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 888 Dated 28.7.2022, whereby he was informed that all passes issued during the period cited in the RTI application were issued via Government of India Covid app/portal and there was no information pertaining to the same in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Moga.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



### **FINAL ORDER**

Suresh Sood,

S/o Sh. Dev Dutt Sood, # 329-330, Street Sood, Mohalla Sodia, District Moga.

#### **Versus**

Public Information Officer, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Moga. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Moga.

## Appeal Case No. 4935 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT: (Appellant) Absent (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.4.2022)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **23.8.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding exemption to the officers in regard to attendance visiting to the field etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **23.9.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **28.10.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.4.2022**.
- 2. Both parties are absent. The respondent PIO has however, submitted copy of a pointwise reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 296 Dated 6.4.2022. The Commission has examined the said reply alongside the RTI application and is of the view that this adequately answers the queries raised in the RTI application.
- 3. This Appeal Case is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.